

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,428	01/23/2004	Laura Simmons	11669.120USU1	6080	
23552 7	590 01/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			HUYNH, PHUONG N	HUONG N	
	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644	1644	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/764,428	SIMMONS, LAUF	SIMMONS, LAURA			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phuong Huynh	1644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF	G DATE OF THIS COM FR 1.136(a). In no event, however n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. The may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on §	<u>3/9/05; 7/9/04</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		•			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-128 is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-128 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the d	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the at	tached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	$3. \square$ Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have	been received in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International Bu	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachmen	t(s)		,				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI		per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PT	·O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		ner:	- ···,			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,428

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

I. Claims 1-128 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- II. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-74 and 82-127, drawn to a method for producing an antibody or antigen binding fragment in high yield in cell culture, the antibody or variable domain is an anti-VEGF antibody, classified in Class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - Claims 1-74 and 82-127, drawn to a method for producing an antibody or antigen binding fragment in high yield in cell culture, the antibody or variable domain is an anti-IgE antibody, classified in Class 435, subclass 69.1.
 - 3. Claims 75-81 and 128, drawn to a polypeptide comprising a first variable domain or a polypeptide comprising a first and second variable domain and an antibody comprising a heavy chain variable domain and a variable domain of an antibody or antigen binding fragment wherein the antibody is an anti-VEGF antibody, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1, 387.3.
 - 4. Claims 75-81 and 128, drawn to a polypeptide comprising a first variable domain or a polypeptide comprising a first and second variable domain and an antibody comprising a heavy chain variable domain and a variable domain of an antibody or antigen binding fragment wherein the antibody is an anti-IgE antibody, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1, 387.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of Groups 3 and 4 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the

Art Unit: 1644

products as claimed differ with respect to their binding specificity. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

Inventions of Groups 1-2 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The instant specification does not disclose that these methods would be used together. The methods of groups 1-2 as claimed are unrelated as they comprise distinct steps, and utilize different product that have different binding specificity. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

Inventions of Groups 3-4 and Groups 1-2 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibody as claimed can be used in treating distinct disease as opposed to its use in detection assays. Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

- III. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods comprising the distinct method steps. A prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- IV. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- V. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04.

 Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection

Application/Control Number: 10/764,428

Art Unit: 1644

or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Page 4

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- VI. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Huynh "NEON" whose telephone number is (571) 272-0846. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 p.m. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The IFW official Fax number is (703) 872-9306.
- VII. Any information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/764,428

Art Unit: 1644

Phuong N. Huynh, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

January 6, 2006

CHRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600